

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/806,678	Applicant(s) MILLER ET AL	
	Examiner James M. Hewitt	Art Unit 3679	

All Participants:

(1) James M. Hewitt.

(2) Philip Dalton.
Status of Application: ____

(3) ____

(4) ____

Date of Interview: 8 November 2005
Time: ____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

4-7

Prior art documents discussed:

Kanao (US 5,429,397)

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant's representative agreed to amend claims 4-6 in order to patentably distinguish the claims from the prior art of record.
Applicant's representative was informed of the objections to the drawings noted in the accompanying Examiner's Amendment.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)